## Topics in Algebra solution

Sung Jong Lee, lovekrand.github.io

November 16, 2020

## Problems in Section 3.7.

1. In a commutative ring with unit element prove that the relation a is an associate of b is an equivalence relation.

*Proof.* (Reflexive) For  $a \in R$ ,  $a = 1 \cdot a$  so that  $a \sim a$ .

(Symmetry) For  $a, b \in R$ , if  $a \sim b \iff b = ua$  for some unit u, uk = 1 for some unit  $k \in R$  and consequently, kb = kua = (uk)a = a. Hence,  $b \sim a$ . So from now on, we can make use of the term  $u^{-1}$  for the inverse of unit u in R.

(Transitive) For  $a, b, c \in R$ , if  $a \sim b$  and  $b \in c$  then b = ua, c = kb for some units u, k in R. Consequently, c = kb = k(ua) = (ku)a. Note that product of unit is still an unit, so that  $a \sim c$ .

2. In a Euclidean ring prove that any two greatest common divisors of a and b are associates.

*Proof.* Apply Lemma 3.7.2. Then the result is straightforward.

3. Prove that a necessary and sufficient condition that the element a in the Euclidean ring be a unit is that d(a) = d(1).

Proof. Suppose a is an unit in R. Then ab = 1 for some  $b \in R$ . Hence,  $d(a) \le d(ab) = d(1)$ . Since  $d(1) \le d(1 \cdot a) = d(a)$ , d(1) = d(a). Conversely, if d(a) = d(1). Suppose a is not an unit. Then by Lemma 3.7.3,  $d(1) < d(1 \cdot a) = d(a)$ , which is a contradiction. Hence, a must be an unit.

4. Prove that in a Euclidean ring (a, b) can be found as follows:

$$b = q_0 a + r_1$$
, where  $d(r_1) < d(a)$   
 $a = q_1 r_1 + r_2$ , where  $d(r_2) < d(r_1)$   
 $r_1 = q_2 r_2 + r_3$ , where  $d(r_3) < d(r_3)$   
 $\vdots$   $\vdots$   $\vdots$   
 $r_{n-1} = q_n r_n$ 

and  $r_n = (a, b)$ .

Proof. We claim that (a,b) equals  $(r_1,a)$  (upto associates). Note that  $r_1 = b - q_0 a$  and  $(a,b) \mid b - q_0 a$  so that  $(a,b) \mid r_1$ . It is also trivial that  $(a,b) \mid a$ , and hence  $(a,b) \mid (r_1,a)$ . Conversely,  $(r_1,a) \mid r_1,a$  and hence  $(r_1,a) \mid (q_0 a + r) = b$  so that  $(r_1,a) \mid (a,b)$ . Hence  $(a,b) = (r_1,a)$  upto associates. We repeat this process until one of the elements in the tuple  $(r_k, r_{k-1})$  terminates with 0(this is always the case since d(a) is finite). So we obtain

$$(a,b)=(r_1,a)=(r_2,r_1)=\cdots=(r_{n-1},r_{n-2})=(r_n,r_{n-1})=(0,r_n)=r_n$$
 so that  $r_n=(a,b)$ .

5. Prove that if an ideal U of a ring R contains a unit of R, then U = R.

*Proof.* If a is an unit of R and  $a \in U$ , then  $a^{-1}a = 1 \in U$  so that U = R.

6. Prove that the units in a commutative ring with a unit element form an abelian group.

*Proof.* Let U be the set of all units in commutative ring R. Then clearly U is closed under associative product. The unit element 1 is the multiplicative identity of U. Let  $u \in U$  and consider its multiplicative inverse  $u^{-1}$ . Since  $u^{-1}u = 1$ ,  $u^{-1}$  is also an unit so that  $u^{-1} \in R$ . Thus, U is a commutative multiplicative group in R.

7. Given two elements a, b in the Euclidean ring R their least common multiple  $c \in R$  is an element in R such that  $a \mid c$  and  $b \mid c$  and such that whenever  $a \mid x$  and  $b \mid x$  for  $x \in R$  then  $c \mid x$ . Prove that any two elements in the Euclidean ring R have a least common multiple of R.

Proof. Let us define a set  $I = \{c \in R : a \mid c, b \mid c\}$ . We claim that I is an ideal in R. For any  $x, y \in I$ ,  $a \mid (x+y)$  and  $b \mid (x+y)$  clearly. Also, for any  $r \in R$ ,  $a \mid xr, rx$  so that I is now an ideal in R. Since R being an Euclidean ring and hence a Principal Ideal Domain, I = (c) for some  $c \in R$ . We now claim that c is the required least common multiple of a and b. By the definition,  $a \mid c$  and  $b \mid c$  clearly. Suppose  $a \mid x$  and  $b \mid x$  for some  $x \in R$ . Then  $x \in I$ . Hence, x is represented as a multiple of c, that is,  $c \mid x$ . Hence, c is the least common multiple of a and b.

8. In Problem 7, if the least common multiple of a and b is denoted by [a, b], prove that [a, b] = ab/(a, b).

Proof. Let d=(a,b). Thus  $a=dk_1$ ,  $b=dk_2$  for some  $k_1,k_2 \in R$ . Note that  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are relatively prime, otherwise d is no more a greatest common divisor of a and b. We claim  $[a,b]=dk_1k_2$ . Let  $c=dk_1k_2$ . Then clearly  $a\mid ak_2=c,\ b\mid bk_1=c$ . Suppose  $a\mid x$  and  $b\mid x$  for some  $x\in R$ . Then  $au_1=x,\ bu_2=x$  for some  $u_1,u_2\in R$ . From  $au_1=bu_2,\ dk_1u_1=dk_2u_2\iff k_1u_1=k_2u_2$ . Hence,  $k_1\mid k_2u_2$ . We know that  $(k_1,k_2)=1$ 

so that  $k_1 \mid u_2$ . Consequently,  $c = dk_2k_1 = bk_1 \mid bu_2 = x$  so that c is the required least common multiple of a and b. Recall that  $c = dk_1k_2$  and  $dk_1k_2 = ab/(a,b)$ . Therefore, [a,b] = ab/(a,b).