

# Topics in Algebra solution

Sung Jong Lee, lovekrand.github.io

November 23, 2020

## Problems in Section 3.11.

1. Prove that  $R[x]$  is a commutative ring with unit element whenever  $R$  is.

*Proof.* Let  $p(x), q(x) \in R[x]$ . We can write  $p(x)$  and  $q(x)$  as

$$p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n, \quad q(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \cdots + b_mx^m$$

where  $a_i, b_j \in R$ ,  $a_n, b_m \neq 0$ ,  $a_{n+1} = a_{n+2} = \cdots = a_t = a_{t+1} \cdots = 0$  and  $b_{m+1} = b_{m+2} = \cdots = b_t = b_{t+1} = \cdots = 0$ . Consequently,

$$p(x) + q(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \cdots + c_tx^t$$

where for each valid  $i$ ,  $c_i = a_i + b_i \in R$ . Thus,  $p(x) + q(x) \in R[x]$ . Additive identity is clearly 0. Inverse element for  $p(x)$  can be defined as  $-p(x) = (-a_0) + (-a_1)x + \cdots + (-a_n)x^n \in R[x]$ . Now for the multiplication,

$$p(x)q(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \cdots + c_{n+m}x^{n+m}$$

where  $c_i = a_0b_i + a_1b_{i-1} + \cdots + a_{i-1}b_1 + a_ib_0$ . Clearly,  $c_i \in R$  and hence  $p(x)q(x) \in R[x]$ . Suppose  $q(x)p(x) = d_0 + d_1x + \cdots + d_{n+m}x^{n+m}$ . Then  $d_i = b_0a_i + b_1a_{i-1} + \cdots + b_{i-1}a_1 + b_ia_0 = a_ib_0 + a_{i-1}b_1 + \cdots + a_1b_{i-1} + a_0b_i = a_0b_i + a_1b_{i-1} + \cdots + a_{i-1}b_1 + a_ib_0 = c_i$  so that  $p(x)q(x) = q(x)p(x)$  and hence  $R[x]$  is commutative. The unit element 1 is clearly in  $R[x]$ . For the distributive property,  $t(x)(p(x) + q(x))$  where  $t(x) = t_0 + t_1x + t_kx^k$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} r(x)(p(x) + q(x)) &= r(x)(c_0 + c_1x + \cdots + c_tx^t) \\ &= e_0 + e_1x + \cdots + e_{k+t}x^{k+t} \end{aligned}$$

where  $e_i = r_0c_i + r_1c_{i-1} + \cdots + r_ic_0 = r_0(a_i + b_i) + r_1(a_{i-1} + b_{i-1}) + \cdots + r_i(a_0 + b_0)$ . In other

side,

$$\begin{aligned}
r(x)p(x) + r(x)q(x) &= ((r_0a_0) + (r_0a_1 + r_1a_0)x + \cdots (r_0a_{t+k} + r_1a_{t+k-1} + \cdots r_{t+k}a_0)x^{t+k}) \\
&\quad + ((r_0b_0) + (r_0b_1 + r_1b_0)x + \cdots (r_0b_{t+k} + r_1b_{t+k-1} + \cdots r_{t+k}b_0)x^{t+k}) \\
&= r_0(a_0 + b_0) + (r_0(a_1 + b_1) + r_1(a_0 + b_0))x + \cdots + (r_0(a_i + b_i) + r_1(a_{i-1} + b_{i-1})) + \cdots \\
&\quad + r_i(a_0 + b_0))x^i + \cdots + (r_0(a_{t+k} + b_{t+k}) + r_1(a_{t+k-1} + b_{t+k-1})) + \cdots r_{t+k}(a_0 + b_0))x^{t+k} \\
&= r_0c_0 + (r_0c_1 + r_1c_0)x + \cdots (r_0c_i + r_1c_{i-1} + \cdots + r_ic_0)x^i + \\
&\quad \cdots + (r_0c_{t+k} + r_1c_{t+k-1} + \cdots r_{t+k}c_0)x^{t+k} \\
&= e_0 + e_1x + \cdots e_ix^i + \cdots + e_{t+k}x^{t+k} = r(x)(p(x) + q(x)).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the distributive property is verified. The other distributive property also holds clearly. Thus,  $R[x]$  is also a ring with unit element whenever  $R$  is.  $\square$

2. Prove that  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n] = R[x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}]$ , where  $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$  is a permutation of  $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ .

*Proof.* Note that every elements  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  in  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is of the form

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n} x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} \cdots x_n^{j_n}.$$

For any permutation  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ ,

$$x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} \cdots x_n^{j_n} = x_{i_1}^{j_{i_1}} x_{i_2}^{j_{i_2}} \cdots x_{i_n}^{j_{i_n}}$$

so that

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n} x_{i_1}^{j_{i_1}} x_{i_2}^{j_{i_2}} \cdots x_{i_n}^{j_{i_n}} \in R[x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}].$$

The opposite inclusion can be shown by the same method above. Thus  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n] = R[x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}]$ .  $\square$

3. If  $R$  is an integral domain, prove that for  $f(x), g(x)$  in  $R[x]$ ,  $\deg(f(x)g(x)) = \deg(f(x) + \deg(g(x))$ .

*Proof.* Same method for the proof of Lemma 3.9.1 can be used here.  $\square$

4. If  $R$  is an integral domain with unit element, prove that any unit in  $R[x]$  must already be a unit in  $R$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $f(x)$  is a unit in  $R[x]$ . Then there is  $g(x) \in R[x]$  such that  $f(x)g(x) = 1$ . Consequently,  $\deg(f(x)g(x)) = \deg(f(x)) + \deg(g(x)) = 0$ , implying  $\deg(f(x)) = \deg(g(x)) = 0$  so that  $f(x) = a$ ,  $g(x) = b$  for some  $a, b \in R$ . Recall that  $ab = 1$ . Thus  $f(x) = a$  is a unit in  $R$ .  $\square$

5. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with no nonzero nilpotent elements (that is,  $a^n = 0$  implies  $a = 0$ ). If  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_mx^m$  in  $R[x]$  is a zero divisor, prove that there is an element  $b \neq 0$  in  $R$  such that  $ba_0 = ba_1 = \cdots = ba_m = 0$ .

*Proof.* We assume that  $a_m \neq 0$ . Since  $f(x) \in R[x]$  is a zero-divisor, there is  $g(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \cdots + b_nx^n \in R[x]$ ,  $b_n \neq 0$  such that  $f(x)g(x) = 0$ . Suppose  $f(x)g(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \cdots + c_tx^t$ . Then

$$c_{m+n} = a_mb_n, \quad c_{m+n-1} = a_{m-1}b_n + a_mb_{n-1}, \quad \cdots \quad c_1 = a_1b_0 + a_0b_1, \quad c_0 = a_0b_0.$$

Since  $c_i = 0$  for all  $i$ ,  $c_{m+n} = a_mb_n = 0$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= c_{m+n-1} \cdot b_n = (a_{m-1}b_n + a_mb_{n-1})b_n \\ &= a_{m-1}b_n^2 + (a_mb_n)b_{n-1} \\ &= a_{m-1}(b_n)^2 \end{aligned}$$

so that  $a_{m-1}(b_n)^2 = 0$ . Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= c_{m+n-2} \cdot b_n^2 = (a_{m-2}b_n + a_{m-1}b_{n-1} + a_mb_{n-2})b_n^2 \\ &= a_{m-2}b_n^3 + (a_{m-1}b_n^2)b_{n-1} + (a_mb_n)b_nb_{n-2} \\ &= a_{m-2}(b_n)^3 \end{aligned}$$

so that  $a_{m-2}(b_n)^3 = 0$ . Hence, we can inductively find that  $a_{m-k}(b_n)^{k+1} = 0$  for all  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Now we know that  $R$  has no nonzero nilpotent elements. Thus,  $b_n^{m+1} \neq 0$ . Let  $b = b_n^{m+1}$ . It is now clear that  $a_{m-k}b = a_{m-k}(b_n^{k+1})(b_n^{m-k}) = 0$  for all  $k$ .  $\square$

6. Do Problem 5 dropping the assumption that  $R$  has no nonzero nilpotent elements.

*Proof.* We prove that if  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_mx^m$  is a zero-divisor of  $R[x]$ , there is  $r \neq 0 \in R[x]$  such that  $rf(x) = 0$ . Suppose not, then there exists a non-constant polynomial  $g(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \cdots + b_kx^k$  of lowest degree in  $R[x]$ . Note that there is coefficient  $a_i$  of highest degree such that  $a_i g(x) \neq 0$ , otherwise  $b_k f(x) = 0$ , a contradiction. So we have

$$f(x)g(x) = (a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_ix^i)(b_0 + b_1x + \cdots + b_kx^k) = 0.$$

Hence  $a_ib_k = 0$ , so that  $\deg(a_i g(x)) < k$ . Consequently,  $f(x)(a_i g(x)) = a_i f(x)g(x) = 0$  but  $a_i g(x)$  is a polynomial of degree less than  $g(x)$ . This contradicts the definition of  $g(x)$ . Therefore, there exists  $r \neq 0 \in R$  such that  $rf(x) = 0 \iff ra_0 = ra_1 = \cdots = ra_n = 0$ .  $\square$

7. If  $R$  is a commutative ring with unit element, prove that  $a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$  in  $R[x]$  has an inverse in  $R[x]$  (i.e., is a unit in  $R[x]$ ) if and only if  $a_0$  is a unit in  $R$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are nilpotent elements in  $R$ .

*Proof.* We first introduce a lemma.

*Lemma.* Let  $x$  and  $y$  be nilpotent elements in a commutative ring  $R$  with unit element. Then  $x + y$  is also nilpotent. Further, for  $r \in R$ ,  $rx$  is nilpotent. That is, collection of nilpotent elements form an ideal in  $R$ . Moreover,  $1 + x$  is a unit in  $R$ . Hence, sum of a unit and nilpotent element is a unit in  $R$ .

(claim) Suppose  $m$  and  $n$  are the integers satisfying  $x^m = y^n = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (x + y)^{m+n} &= \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} \binom{m+n}{k} x^k y^{m+n-k} = (y^{m+n} + xy^{m+n-1} + \cdots + x^{m-1}y^{n+1} \\ &\quad + x^m y^n + x^{m+1}y^{n-1} + \cdots + x^{m+n-1}y + x^{m+n}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

so that  $x + y$  is nilpotent in  $R$ . Also, for any  $r \in R$ ,  $(rx)^m = r^m x^m = 0$  so that  $rx$  is also nilpotent in  $R$ . Now, we claim that  $1 + x$  is a unit in  $R$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + x)(1 - x + x^2 + \cdots + (-1)^{m-1}x^{m-1}) &= 1 - x + x^2 + \cdots + (-1)^{m-1}x^{m-1} \\ &\quad + x - x^2 + \cdots + (-1)^{m-1}x^m \\ &= 1 + (-1)^{m-1}x^m = 1 \end{aligned}$$

so that  $1 + x$  is clearly a unit in  $R$ . Now we prove that sum of a unit and nilpotent element is a unit. Let  $u$  be a unit of  $R$ . Then  $uu' = 1$  for some  $u' \in R$ . Consequently,  $(u + x)u' = 1 + xu'$ . Recall that  $xu'$  is nilpotent. Thus,  $(u + x)u'$  is a unit in  $R$ . Thus,  $(u + x)u'v = (u + x)(u'v) = 1$  for some  $v \in R$ . Therefore,  $u + x$  is also a unit in  $R$ .

Now we head to our problem. Let  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$  be a unit in  $R[x]$ . That is, there is  $g(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \cdots + b_mx^m$  such that

$$f(x)g(x) = (a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n)(b_0 + b_1x + \cdots + b_mx^m) = 1.$$

Clearly, this implies that

$$a_0b_0 = 1, \quad a_0b_1 + a_1b_0 = 0, \dots, a_{n-1}b_m + a_nb_{m-1} = 0, \quad a_nb_m = 0.$$

From above, we know that  $a_0$  is a unit in  $R$ . Further, without loss of generality, we can assume that  $a_n \neq 0$ . We shall now claim that  $a_n^{r+1}b_{m-r} = 0$  for all  $r = 0, 1, \dots, m$ . For  $r = 0$ , it is trivial. Observe that

$$0 = a_n(a_{n-1}b_m + a_nb_{m-1}) = 0 + a_n^2b_{m-1} \implies a_n^2b_{m-1} = 0.$$

We can repeat this process and inductively get the required result of  $a_n^{r+1}b_{m-r} = 0$  for all  $r = 0, 1, \dots, m$ . But note that since  $a_0b_0 = 1$ ,  $a_n^{m+1}a_0b_0 = a_n^{m+1} \cdot 1 = a_0(a_n^{m+1}b_0) = 0$ . Hence  $a_n$  is nilpotent. From the lemma we established,  $f(x) - a_nx^n$  is a sum of unit and nilpotent element, so that it is now an unit. So we can repeat the same process to obtain that each of  $a_{n_1}, a_{n-2}, \dots, a_1$  are, in fact, nilpotent.

Conversely, assume that  $a_0$  is an unit and  $a_i, i \geq 1$  is nilpotent. Given the fact that sum of an unit and nilpotent element is an unit,  $a_0 + a_1x$  is also an unit. So inductively, we can conclude that  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n$  is also an unit in  $R$ .  $\square$

8. Prove that when  $F$  is a field,  $F[x_1, x_2]$  is not a principal ideal ring.

*Proof.* We claim that  $(x_1, x_2)$  is not a principal ideal. For the sake of contradiction, assume that  $(x_1, x_2) = (p)$  for some polynomial  $p$  in  $F[x_1, x_2]$ . Then there exists polynomials  $q_1, q_2 \in F[x_1, x_2]$  such that  $pq_1 = x_1$ ,  $pq_2 = x_2$ . Note that from  $pq_1 = x_1$ , since  $F$  is a field,  $p$  must have non-zero coefficient of  $x_1$  and from  $pq_2 = x_2$ ,  $q_2$  has non-zero constant term so that  $pq_2$  has non-zero coefficient of  $x_1$ , which contradicts that  $pq_2 = x_2$ . Hence,  $(x_1, x_2)$  is not a principal ideal.  $\square$

9. Prove, completely, Lemma 3.11.2 and its corollary.

*Proof.* By the definition of Unique Factorization Domain (in short, UFD), for any non-unit  $a, b \in R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} a &= q_1q_2 \cdots q_n, \\ b &= q'_1q'_2 \cdots q'_m \end{aligned}$$

where  $q_i, q'_j$ s are irreducibles in  $R$ . Now we re-order the irreducibles of  $a$  and  $b$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} a &= (q_1q_2 \cdots q_k) \cdot q_{k+1} \cdots q_n, \\ b &= (q'_1q'_2 \cdots q'_k) \cdot q'_{k+1} \cdots q'_m \end{aligned}$$

where each  $q_i, 1 \leq i \leq k \leq \min\{n, m\}$  is associate with  $q'_i$ , and none of  $q_i, i \geq k+1$  is associate with  $q'_j, j \geq k+1$ . Let  $d = q_1q_2 \cdots q_k$ . We claim that  $d = (a, b)$ . It is clear that  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid b$ . Suppose  $c$  is also a common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ . As  $R$  is an UFD,  $c$  is also a product of irreducibles of  $R$ . Suppose  $c \nmid d$ . Then there exists an irreducible  $y$  such that  $y$  divides one of  $q_i, i \geq k+1$  and  $q'_j, j \geq k+1$ . This forces us to conclude that  $y$  is either an unit or  $q_i$  and  $q_j$  are not irreducibles. But either of the cases leads to contradiction. Hence,  $c \mid d$  and  $d$  is the required greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ .

Now suppose  $a$  and  $b$  are relatively prime and  $a \mid bc$ . Since none of irreducible factors of  $a$  are associates with  $b$ , it must divide  $c$  (or in associate with some irreducible factors of  $c$ ). Thus, it forces us that  $a \mid c$ .

Now we prove the corollary. If  $a$  is an irreducible element and  $a \mid bc$ , then either  $(a, b) = 1$  or  $(a, b) = a$ . If former was the cases, then  $a \mid c$ . If later was the case,  $a \mid b$ .  $\square$

10. a) If  $R$  is a unique factorization domain, prove that every  $f(x) \in R[x]$  can be written as  $f(x) = ag_1(x)$ , where  $a \in R$  and where  $f_1(x)$  is primitive.

*Proof.* Let  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_nx^n$  where  $a_i \in R$ . Define  $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . Then

$$f(x) = a(b_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 + \cdots + b_nx^n) = af_1(x)$$

where  $(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n) = 1$ . Hence  $f_1(x)$  is primitive and  $f(x) = af_1(x)$ .  $\square$

b) Prove that the decomposition in part (a) is unique (up to associates).

*Proof.* Suppose  $f(x) = af_1(x) = bf_2(x)$  for some primitive polynomials  $f_1(x), f_2(x) \in R[x]$ . Let  $c(f)$  denote the content of the polynomials. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c(f) &= c(af_1) = c(bf_2) \\ (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) &= a \cdot c(f_1) = a = b \cdot c(f_2) = b \end{aligned}$$

so that  $a$  and  $b$  are also greatest common divisors of coefficients of  $f(x)$ . But since every gcd's are associates, so does  $a$  and  $b$ , and hence  $af_1(x)$  and  $bf_2(x)$ .  $\square$

11. If  $R$  is an integral domain, and if  $F$  is its field of quotients, prove that any element  $f(x)$  in  $F[x]$  can be written as  $f(x) = (f_0(x)/a)$ , where  $f_0(x) \in R[x]$  and where  $a \in R$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f(x)$  be a polynomial in  $F[x]$  such that

$$f(x) = \frac{a_0}{b_0} + \frac{a_1}{b_1}x + \cdots + \frac{a_n}{b_n}x^n$$

where  $a_i \in R$ ,  $b_i \neq 0 \in R$ . We set  $a = b_0b_1 \cdots b_n \in R$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{a_0b_1 \cdots b_n}{a} + \frac{a_1b_0b_2 \cdots b_n}{a}x + \cdots + \frac{a_nb_0b_1 \cdots b_{n-1}}{a}x^n \\ &= \frac{a_0b_1 \cdots b_n + a_1b_0b_2 \cdots b_nx + \cdots + a_nb_0 \cdots b_{n-1}x^n}{a} \\ &= \frac{f_0(x)}{a} \end{aligned}$$

for some  $f_0(x) = a_0b_1 \cdots b_n + a_1b_0b_2 \cdots b_nx + \cdots + a_nb_0 \cdots b_{n-1}x^n \in R[x]$ .  $\square$

12. Prove the converse part of Lemma 3.11.4.

*Proof.* Suppose  $f(x) \in R[x]$  is primitive and irreducible as an element of  $F[x]$ . If  $f(x)$  is not irreducible in  $R[x]$ ,

$$f(x) = g(x)k(x)$$

for some non constant  $g(x), k(x) \in R[x]$ . But each  $g(x)$  and  $k(x)$  can be viewed as elements in  $F[x]$ . Thus  $f(x) = g(x)k(x)$  in  $F[x]$ , which contradicts that  $f(x)$  is irreducible in  $F[x]$ . Hence,  $f(x)$  is also irreducible in  $R[x]$ .  $\square$

13. Prove Corollary 2 to Theorem 3.11.1.

*Proof.* Note that  $F[x_1]$  is always an Unique Factorization Domain. Applying the Corollary 1,  $F[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  is also an Unique Factorization Domain. Hence proved.  $\square$

14. Prove that a principal ideal ring is a unique factorization domain.

*Proof.* We can show that every PID is a GCD closed domain, following the proof of Lemma 3.7.1. Also, Lemma 3.7.5 and Lemma 3.7.6 assure us that irreducibles of PID are primes (and in fact, vice versa), so that Theorem 3.7.2 is valid. Now we have to show that Lemma 3.7.4 also holds in PID.

We introduce the notion of ascending chain condition and Noetherian ring: A commutative ring  $R$  satisfies the ascending chain condition (ACC) on ideals if there is no infinite sequence of ideals in  $R$  which each term properly contains the previous one. That is, if

$$U_1 \subset U_2 \subset U_3 \subset \dots$$

is a chain of ideals of  $R$ , then there is some integer  $m$  such that  $U_m = U_{m+k}$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . Commutative ring satisfying ACC is called Noetherian.

Let  $R$  be a PID. Consider the chain on ideals  $U_1 \subset U_2 \subset U_3 \subset \dots$ .  $U_\infty = \cup_{k=1}^\infty U_k$  is also an ideal in  $R$ . Since  $R$  being a PID, there is  $a \in R$  such that  $(a) = U_\infty$ . Thus,  $a \in U_n$  for some  $n$ . Then for every  $k \geq 0$ ,  $U_{n+k} = U_n$ . Therefore,  $R$  is Noetherian.

Now assume that  $U \subset R$  be the set of ideals generated by each elements of  $R$  that cannot be written by a product of irreducible elements of  $R$ . If  $U \neq \emptyset$ ,  $U$  has a maximal element  $(r)$  since  $R$  being Noetherian.  $r$  is not irreducible, hence not a prime. Therefore,  $(r)$  is not a maximal ideal in  $R$ . So there is  $s \in R$  such that  $(s)$  properly contains  $(r)$  and  $s \mid r$ . Consequently,  $(s) \notin U$ , so that  $s$  is a product of irreducibles. Choose an irreducible (prime)  $a$  such that  $a \mid s$ , then  $a \mid r$  so that  $r = ab$  for some  $b \in R$ . If  $(b) \in U$ , then  $b$  is an irreducible and hence  $r$  is a product of irreducibles, a contradiction. If  $(b) \in U$ , then  $(r) \subsetneq (b)$  (notice that  $ab = r$ , thus  $(b)$  properly contains  $(r)$ ) contradicting the maximality of  $(r)$  in the chain. Thus,  $U = \emptyset$  and we can conclude that  $R$  is a UFD.  $\square$

15. If  $J$  is the ring of integers, prove that  $J[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  is a unique factorization domain.

*Proof.* Note that  $J$  is an Euclidean ring with unit element so that it is an PID, and hence, UFD. Consequently,  $J[x_1]$  is also an UFD. Induction shows that  $J[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  is an UFD.  $\square$